58-3650

16 October 1956

MEMORARDUM FOR: Deputy Director (Support)

SUBJECT

: Conditions in Payroll Branch, Fiscal Division, Office

of the Comptroller

REFERENCE

: Memorandum for DD/S from Inspector General dated

2 October 1956

1. The referenced memorandum from the Inspector General states that an anonymous letter was received by him alleging a serious deteriorating condition in the Payroll Branch, Fiscal Division. However, prior to receipt of the referenced memorandum, the Inspector General had called both this Office and the DD/S and stated that a complaining letter had been received, the source of which was of such nature as to make it imperative that an investigation be conducted. We therefore conclude that although the letter is labeled anonymous, the source is actually known by the Inspector General and as indicated by him over the telephone such source is one to be taken seriously.

2. We feel that the letter exaggerates the situation in the Payroll Branch and whether it means to do so or not, the language is considerably misleading. The letter apparently alleges that personnel are being driven to the point of exhaustion. The Acting Comptroller, and Chief, Fiscal Division, have had conferences with each individual in the Payroll Branch and did not find anyone with the possible exception of a felt that overtime had overly taxed them. In the case of she herself admits a good deal of her difficulty has been created by personal problems at home and outside activities combined with overtime at the office. The letter states that unsound changes were made in basic procedures. We do not agree that the changes made were wasound. On the other hand, that portion of the procedure (functional in lieu of block) which has not been successful and which we have discontinued has in theory some very good principles, and we are not entirely sure that it received a fair trial because of circumstances and the attitude of some individuals attempting to make it operate. Industry and private enterprise have utilized the functional approach to many production problems with great success and resulting economy. Admittedly, however, most functional set-ups regardless of beneficial economic results are more boring to the individual worker because of the production line approach. This, coupled with some resistance on the part of the personnel to change, was undoubtedly part of the reason for failure of the functional approach to payroll activity. It may also be that the block system is actually the better system. In any event regardless of the reasons, we believed it advisable to change back to the block system and we did so. The letter states that morale was terrible and that most personnel are seeking to escape through resignation and reassignment. We agree that morale is not what it should be, but those persons resigning or seeking reassignment are doing so principally for improvement of their career or because

25X1

better positions are effered than, or because payrell work generally does not appeal to them. The letter further indicated that "slave conditions" exist in the Payrell Brench, which statement in our opinion has no foundation.

3. We feel that the situation is the Payroll Branch is and had been for some time difficult to sope with due principally, however, to inherent problems und pressures of payroll operations, and a certain recruitment problem. Also, we spec that a procedural charge to a functional rether than a block mater did not work settlefactorily and did cause marest and advance effect on the morals of the personni. knower, with regard to this problem, not one individual complained to the Chief, Flanck Division, or the Comptroller; had anyone done to, it is probable that the "functional procedure" would have been discontimed somer than it was. We some that recruiting difficulties are courte and it is very desirable that the T/O of the Payroll Branch be explaintly filled. However, the investigation report states that there are only eight people who are reasonably content in the present Payrull Branch. In our discussions with each individual, we did not obtain this impression. Therefore, comments make to the investigator by some individuals must have been different from execute to us when we interviewed each of them. This is not to say that most paraces in the Paywell breach would be baggy to reach there forever or even interinitely, but we found nost of them satisfied to romain for a reasonable period of time. Also, with respect to the marmiting problem, the investigafor states that may of the class typists were drove to the Agency by the lure of intelligence and the promect of overces duty, and that one realization by a young women from deliferate occurred during the course of the investigation for provincly this reason. With regard to this individual the corresponding interviewed her prior to employment and made clear to her the nature of payroll work as we so with respect to all prospective employees for that breach, and this particular person indicated that she aid not wish to have a position anywhere else in the Flacal Metalon but the Payroll Rearch. Also, when she resigned, she indicated that she was taking a position with Malper outside the Agency because their offer was some attractive Commodally, and because it was minitiar to the type of work she had been doing in California and in which she was more interested. He know for certainty that this individual was not misloud by the Office of the Comptroller when she was hired and placed in the Payroll Brusch, and the remain she gave us for resigning containly assend to conflict with that the inventigator either objected from her or elementers. The investigator stated that plantag her in Payroll Breach though periage inderstandable because of the personal charter, we personal as extrem one of silescolpanent to sin renigned from the Lyony within 30 days seriously dismiffested. Since this person specifically asked to be placed in the Payroll Branch in quite of the fact that we offered her other opportunities does not see to support contention that the was mineral grant due principly to purceuel durings.

- 4. With respect to the recommendations contained in the investigation report, the following are our comments:
 - a. We do not feel that the DD/S should admonish the Comptroller for supervisory failure within his organization. The investigation report states that the "block system" was replaced by the "functional system" apparently without the knowledge of higher echelon supervisory personnel. It appears that the investigator arrived at this conclusion as a result of the discussions during the investigations; but as a matter of fact, the Comptroller did know that the change was being made and was in agreement with it on an experimental basis. Some of the reasons for it not being successful are as outlined by the investigator, but we also believe that diremstances and some employee attitudes had semething to do with the failure. Regardless, the Office of the Comptroller recognised the necessity for changing back to the "block system" and while it might now in retrospect appear that the change should have been made sooner, there were no complaints received by the Comptroller at any time by any individual in the Payroll Branch. Also, although we agree that there was an adverse effect on the morale of some of the employees because of the procedural change, we do not agree that the situation was "chaotic" as described by the investigation report.
 - b. The Office of the Comptroller agrees that it is appropriate for the Comptroller and the Director of Personnel to insure in every way possible that the Payroll Branch be given a legitimate opportunity to recruit personnel who by temperment and background are most apt to adjust to payroll work. When interviewing prospective candidates for the Payroll Branch we at all times attempt not to assign anyone to the brench who expresses unwillingness to perform such work or dissatisfaction with the proposed assignment. It may be that the Director of Personnel can further improve recruitment with respect to the Payroll Branch and we will further discuss this with the Director of Personnel. We have arranged for filling each of the present vacancies with personnel from the Office of Personnel pool. This is not, however, a personnent solution to the problem, since some of these replacements may actually desire to work elsewhere and probably will at a later date express a desire for change.
 - c. The Office of the Comptroller will certainly velcome any tightening of administrative procedures and enforcement of applicable regulatory issuances. As indicated in the survey report and previously by the survey of the Management Staff, the timely and accurate submission to the Payroll Branch of MA's has been a serious problem and while we have improved this situation, it still is not considered satisfactory. In reviewing this problem in the past, we have considered refusing to process TAA's for the current payroll period to which they apply when they are late or

are otherwise not in proper order, but we have never adopted such procedure due to the fact that in the final analysis it is usually the employees who would be penalized rather than the person who is responsible for the submission of the TAA. This problem requires further discussion between your Office and the Office of the Comptroller.

25X1

25X1

d. With respect to you are advised that on 26 September was assigned a parking space by the Office of the Comptroller, and she has withdrawn her resignation. In withdrawing the resignation, she said that obtaining a parking space might not be the complete solution to her problem, because her personal problems at home and her outside activities occupy so much of her time and tax her so much physically that she might not be able to continue in work as demanding as payroll. She agreed to continue on a trial basis, however, and we will review her situation with her again in a short period of time to determine whether an attempt should be made to transfer her elsewhere or whether other measures should be taken to solve her problem. In connection with the assignment of the parking space, you may wish to consider whether the FE Division should more appropriately give up a parking slot to this cause rather than the Office of the Comptroller, since the principal justification for such assignment originates from a health problem of son who is employed by FE and who must be driven to and from work.

25X1

25X1

Acting Comptroller

cc: Inspector General